Spin wave amplification using the spin Hall effect in permalloy/platinum bilayers
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We investigate the effect of an electrical current on the attenuation length of a 900 nm wavelength spin-wave in a permalloy/Pt bilayer using propagating spin-wave spectroscopy. The modification of the spin-wave relaxation rate is linear in current density, reaching up to 14% for a current density of 2.3 × 1011 A/m² in Pt. This change is attributed to the spin transfer torque induced by the spin Hall effect and corresponds to an effective spin Hall angle of 0.13, which is among the highest values reported so far. The spin Hall effect thus appears as an efficient way of amplifying/attenuating propagating spin waves. Published by AIP Publishing. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4952447]

The use of spin-waves (SW) as a beyond-CMOS paradigm for analog or digital signal processing is a key aim for the field of magnonics.12 An important challenge involves devising a transistor-like scheme, where the equivalent of a gate voltage can control the propagation of spin-waves (SW) between a source and a drain. This can be achieved by using current-induced spin transfer torques (STT),3 which modify the effective magnetic damping such that magnetization precession is amplified or attenuated depending on the current polarity. However, integrating a vertical STT spin-valve on the top of a magnonic waveguide4 remains difficult. An alternative approach relies on the spin Hall effect (SHE), which allows for the generation of pure spin currents in a nonmagnetic material possessing a large spin-orbit coupling.45 In this approach, an electrical current flowing in the plane of a bilayer comprising a ferromagnet and a heavy metal induces a spin current in the perpendicular-to-plane direction. The so-called spin-Hall effect spin transfer torque (SHE-STT) arising from this spin current has been demonstrated in a number of experiments in which modulation of magnetic damping,7 control of magnetic fluctuations,8 STT-induced ferromagnetic resonance,9 magnetization reversal,10 and self-oscillations11,12 could be observed. Recently, Brillouin light scattering (BLS) has been used to demonstrate that the spin Hall effect can lower the magnetic losses acting on SWs propagating along CoFeB/Pt13 and CoFeB/Ta14 strips. A spectacular enhancement of the spin-wave attenuation length of up to 60% could be observed.10 However, in these experiments, the phase-resolution ability of BLS was not used and the SW wavelength was therefore not controlled. In this article, we build upon these previous works resorting to propagating spin-wave spectroscopy (PSWS), an all electrical measurement scheme allowing one to monitor accurately the propagation of spin-waves of well-defined wave-vector k (Refs. 15 and 29). We show that the relaxation rate of a spin-wave with a wavelength of 900 nm can be increased or decreased using SHE-STT. Within the explored range, the modulation is linear in current and its magnitude is comparable to the one reported for the ferromagnetic resonance (FMR) mode (k = 0), using different methods.5

The PSWS technique is used here to investigate the propagation of spin-waves in a permalloy (15 nm)/Pt (10 nm) bilayer. As illustrated in Fig. 1(a), the studied devices consist of a permalloy(Py)/Pt strip of width W = 10 μm and a pair of spin-wave antennas. The conductive bilayer is covered by a 330 nm thick insulating SiO2 film to avoid the electrical contact with the antennas. Pads are attached to the strip for injecting a dc current I and coplanar waveguides are connected to the antennas for microwave measurements [Fig. 1(d)]. A spin-wave is excited by passing a microwave current in one antenna and is detected by measuring the magnetic flux induced on the second antenna. From the phase and amplitude of the mutual-inductance ΔL21, where the indices 1 and 2 refer to the emitting and receiving antennas, respectively, one can extract the characteristics of the SWs propagating between the two transducers. In parallel, one also measures the self-inductances ΔLii (i = 1, 2) to evaluate the coupling between the antennas and the spin-waves. The wave vector of the excited SW is defined by the Fourier transform of the microwave current distribution, which displays a strong peak at k = 7.1 μm⁻¹ for the chosen antenna geometry.15 A saturating magnetic field H is applied in the transverse in-plane direction, that is, perpendicular to the direction of spin-wave propagation. This so-called magneto-static surface wave geometry has the advantage of providing strong spin-wave signals. It is also the one for which the influence of SHE is expected to be maximum since the spin accumulation generated by the SHE is strictly collinear with the equilibrium magnetization [Figs. 1(b) and 1(c)].

Spin-wave propagation is first investigated at zero dc current. Fig. 2(a) shows the mutual-inductance spectrum ΔL21 measured at μ0H = 37 mT for devices with D = 1, 3, 5 μm, where D is the edge-to-edge distance between the antennas. One clearly sees that the amplitude and the period of the
waveforms decrease rapidly with increasing \( D \). As detailed below, these two quantities, which are the essential outputs of the PSWS measurements, can be analyzed to extract the three essential parameters describing spin-wave propagation. First, the waveform amplitude decays as \( A = \exp\left(-\frac{(D + D_{\text{eff}})}{L_{\text{att}}}\right) \), where \( A = \Delta L_{21}/\sqrt{L_{11}L_{22}} \) is the maximum amplitude of the mutual-inductance normalized to those of the two self-inductances, \( L_{\text{att}} \) is the attenuation length defined as the distance over which the amplitude of the magnetization precession decreases by a factor \( e \), and \( D_{\text{eff}} \) is the effective width of the antenna, which accounts for the attenuation occurring below the antenna itself. Therefore, by plotting \(-\ln(A)\) as a function of \( D \) [diamonds in Fig. 2(b)], one obtains a linear dependence whose slope is \( 1/L_{\text{att}} \). Second, the period of the signal oscillations is the inverse of the SW propagation time \( \tau = (D + D_{\text{eff}})/v_g \), where \( v_g \) is the group velocity. Third, the amplitude decay follows also \( A = \exp(-\Gamma \tau) \), where \( \Gamma \) is the magnetization relaxation rate. Thus, \( \Gamma \) can be obtained by plotting \(-\ln(A)\) as a function of \( \tau \) [squares in Fig. 2(b)] and extracting the corresponding slope.

At zero current, the above three parameters, which are related to each other by \( L_{\text{att}} = v_g/\Gamma \), amount to \( L_{\text{att}} \approx 1.8 \mu m \), \( v_g \approx 2.2 \text{ km/s} \), and \( \Gamma \approx 1.2 \text{ ns}^{-1} \). These values are in excellent agreement with those obtained from the theoretical expressions \( v_g = v_{\text{D-E}} \exp(-2\omega_0\gamma/4\omega) \) and \( \Gamma = 2(\omega_0 + \omega_0^2/2) \) derived from the Damon-Eshbach dispersion relation.

Here \( \omega_0 = \gamma M_s \), \( \omega_0 \approx \gamma M_s \), \( M_s \) is the permalloy thickness, \( \omega = 20 \mu m \), \( v_{g,\text{theo}} \) is the Gilbert damping parameter of Py affected by spin-pumping, \( \gamma/(2\pi) = 29\text{GHz}/T \) is the gyromagnetic ratio, and \( M_s = 0.92 \) is the effective saturation magnetization accounting for the presence of surface anisotropies.

Next, we examine similar PSWS measurements performed with an applied \( dc \) current \( I \) in the range of \(-50 \text{ mA} \) to \(+50 \) mA. We apply the same procedure as above, i.e., we look at the variation of the amplitude and period of the transmitted signal as a function of \( D \), for different values of \( I \), in order to determine the current-dependence of \( \Gamma \), \( v_g \), and \( L_{\text{att}} \). The results are presented in Fig. 3. We observe a linear variation of \( \Gamma \) as a function of current [Fig. 3(a)]. This is clear evidence of the SHE-induced spin transfer torque effect: the spin current generated by the SHE in the Pt layer is injected into the Py layer and modifies the magnetization precession
via the spin transfer torque, leading to an enhancement or a reduction of the spin relaxation rate depending on the current polarity [Figs. 1(b) and 1(c)]. As expected for SHE-STT, the effect is reversed when the magnetic field is reversed: for a negative applied field the current-dependence of the spin-wave relaxation rate is of the opposite sign (not shown).

Before proceeding with the quantitative analysis of the STT effect on $\Gamma$, let us first discuss the current-dependence of $v_g$ and $L_{att}$. For the former, one observes a symmetric decrease at high current values [Fig. 3(b)] whereas for the latter, one observes a comparable nonlinear decrease on top of a linear variation [Fig. 3(c)]. The nonlinear deviations of both $v_g$ and $L_{att}$ are attributed to the decrease in the saturation magnetization due to Joule heating. To analyze this effect, we rely on the variation of the spin-wave resonance frequency $f_{res}$ with current. Fig. 4(a) shows the mutual-inductances measured at $I = 0$, $30$ mA, and $-30$ mA. With respect to the zero current reference waveform, one observes a decrease in frequency for both current polarities. We note however that the decrease is significantly larger for a negative current than for a positive one. The overall variation of $f_{res}$ is best seen by plotting the frequency of the maximum of $|\Delta L_{21}|$ as a function of $I$ [squares in Fig. 4(b)]. This variation may be decomposed into odd and even contributions, $f_{res}^{even/odd}(I) = f_{res}(0) + (f_{res}(I) \pm f_{res}(-I))/2$. The odd contribution [triangles in Fig. 4(b)] follows a linear dependence

$$\Delta \Gamma_{\text{STT}} = \theta_{\text{STT}}^{\text{eff}} \frac{\mu_B}{M_{S,Py}} I_{Pt},$$

where $\theta_{\text{SH}}^{\text{eff}}$ is the effective spin Hall angle of the Pt film including possible losses of angular momentum in the interface region (due to, e.g., spin backflow\cite{21} or spin memory loss\cite{22}), $\mu_B$ is the Bohr magneton, $e$ is the electron charge, and $I_{Pt}$ is the current density in the Pt layer. By using the value $I_{Pt}/I = 0.45$, which is then used to compute $J_{Pt}$, and fitting the experimental data of Fig. 3(a) to Eq. (1), one deduces an effective spin Hall angle $\theta_{\text{SH}}^{\text{eff}} = 0.13$. This value is among the highest reported so far for Pt associated with Py

with a slope $S = 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ GHz/mA. It is attributed to the Oersted field $H_{Oe} = I_{Pt}/(2W)$ generated by the fraction of the current $I_{Pt}$ that flows in the Pt layer.\cite{18} Using the value of $S$ and the conversion factor obtained by differentiating the Damon-Eshbach dispersion relation with respect to the field, one obtains a ratio $I_{Pt}/I = 0.45$, which is consistent with the resistivities of individual Pt and Py films, $25 \times 10^{-8} \Omega m$ and $35 \times 10^{-8} \Omega m$, respectively. This ratio is an important parameter that will be used later in the analysis of the magnitude of the SHE-STT effect. The even contribution [circles in Fig. 4(b)] is attributed to the Joule heating. From the frequency decrease of $5\%$ observed for $|I| = 50$ mA, which corresponds to a saturation magnetization decrease of $7\%$, we estimate the temperature increase to be about $150K$.\cite{19,20,21,22} Importantly, by combining the change in group velocity induced by Joule heating with the change in relaxation rate induced by the SHE-STT, we can account for the measured variation of $L_{att}$ [dashed line in Fig. 3(c)]. This confirms that our analysis is self-consistent. After subtracting out the effect of Joule heating, the remaining variation of $L_{att}$ is found to be linear [open diamonds in Fig. 3(c)], as expected for a purely SHE-STT-induced effect.

Let us now analyze quantitatively the observed SHE-STT effect, for which the relevant quantity is the spin-wave relaxation rate $\Gamma$. For our experimental geometry, the SHE-STT in the linearized Landau-Lifshitz equation of motion translates directly into a current-induced change in magnetization relaxation rate,
(see, e.g., the review in Refs. 5 and 6). By expressing it as a transverse spin conductivity, we find $5 \times 10^5$ S/m, which is comparable to the intrinsic SHE value obtained from ab-initio calculations.\textsuperscript{23,24} While it is beyond the scope of this paper to settle the highly controversial question of the determination of spin-Hall angles, we offer three possible explanations for the relatively high value we obtain: (i) the spin transparency of our Py/Pt interface could be quite high, as suggested by the relatively large value of the effective spin-mixing conductance $2.4 \times 10^{10}$ m$^{-2}$ deduced from the increase of damping parameter in the present Py/Pt bilayer as compared to a reference Py film;\textsuperscript{21} (ii) part of the SHE might originate from within the Py film itself, which could explain why our value is comparable to those obtained for systems with comparable Py thickness;\textsuperscript{25,26} (iii) the measurement geometry chosen here is different from the STT-FMR geometry where the external field is applied at an oblique angle from the strip axis. From that perspective, the most relevant points of comparison are measurements of SHE-STT induced changes of damping in Py/Pt by cavity-FMR\textsuperscript{7} and micro-BLS,\textsuperscript{8} which are performed in the same geometry as our PSWS experiments but at $k = 0$. By analyzing these data using Eq. (1), we obtain effective spin Hall angles of 0.05–0.09. Our value for $k = 7.1$ m$^{-1}$ is comparable to, and even larger than, these estimates. In the linear regime of excitation investigated here, i.e., far below the auto-oscillation threshold, the only effect of the SHE-STT is to change the magnetization relaxation rate and, as expected from the form of the STT term [Eq. (1)], we find that the process is as efficient for a propagating spin-wave with finite $k$ as for the FMR mode or for the thermally excited spin-wave manifold.\textsuperscript{27}

In conclusion, we have demonstrated experimentally that the attenuation of propagating SWs with a well-defined wave vector can be efficiently controlled using SHE-STT. We observed that the spin-wave relaxation rate can be either enhanced or diminished depending on the current polarity. The efficiency of the modulation is found to be similar to what has been obtained in FMR experiments, which probe the uniform magnetic mode. Our findings establish experimental grounds for future multi-frequency magnonic logic systems with comparable Py thickness;\textsuperscript{25,26} optimizing the material parameters of the bilayer might allow for further control of the attenuation length to such an extent that binary on/off operations in a spin-wave transistor could be envisaged.
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